

REMARKS

By the foregoing Amendment, Claims 1, 9 and 11 have been amended. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

The Examiner objected to Claims 9 and 10, due to the typographical error "nits" in Claim 9, and Claim 9 has been amended to change this to "units" as suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 1-3 and 9-11 were rejected on the grounds of obviousness from Henderson et al. in view of Baker et al and Teo. Claim 1 has been amended to recite "a video camera control module connected to said video camera for receiving said plurality of separate video images, and connected to said in flight entertainment local area network for providing a forward view image, a downward view image, and an omniview frame image," and a first group of passengers being able to select between the forward and downward view images, and a second group of passengers being able to select a desired view from the omniview frame image. Claim 9 similarly has been amended to recite "a video camera control unit connected to said video camera for receiving said plurality of separate images, providing a forward view image and a downward view image from said plurality of separate images, and combining said plurality of separate images in an omniview frame image," and a first group of passengers being able to select between the forward and downward view images, and a second group of passengers being able to select a desired view from the omniview frame image. Support for amending the claims to recite a video camera providing a plurality of separate video images and a video

camera control module receiving the plurality of separate video images and providing a forward view image and a downward view image from the plurality of separate video images to one group of passengers, and an omniview frame image from the plurality of separate video images to another group of passengers, can be found in the specification at page 5, lines 6-25, and page 6, lines 7-24, and in Fig. 4. It is respectfully submitted that Henderson et al., Baker et al., and Teo do not teach, disclose or suggest, either individually or in combination, a video camera control unit connected to a video camera for receiving a plurality of separate images from the camera, and providing a forward view image, a downward view image, and an omniview frame image from the plurality of separate images, and a first group of passengers being able to select between the forward and downward view images, and a second group of passengers being able to select a desired view from the omniview frame image, as is claimed. While Henderson et al. teaches providing a forward view image and a downward view image to passengers, Henderson et al. contains no teaching, disclosure, suggestion or motivation for providing a selection between a forward view image and a downward view image to one group of passengers and a selection of images from an omniview image to a another group of passengers.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that Claims 1, 3 and 9 to 11 are novel and inventive over Henderson et al., Baker et al. and Teo, either taken individually or in combination, and that the rejection of Claims 1-3 and 9-11 on the grounds of obviousness from Henderson et al. in view of Baker et al. and Teo should be withdrawn.

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, and an early favorable action in this regard is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LEE & MTECHT, LLP

By:

James W. Paul
Reg. No. 29,967

JWP/rvw

Encls.: Return Postcard

Howard Hughes Center
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Telephone: (310) 824-5555
Facsimile: (310) 824-9696
Customer No. 24201